

## LOGICS

TD 2 : Cut elimination

### Exercice 2.1 Harrop

1- Let us consider the theories (i.e. sets of formulas) : EG, P<sub>0</sub>, PA, MO, GR. Which ones are Harrop theories ? What (interesting) conclusions can we draw from this ?

### Exercice 2.2 PA versus P<sub>0</sub>

1- Let us denote by P'<sub>0</sub> (resp. PA') the set of formulas of P<sub>0</sub> (resp. PA) the axiom A2 excepted. Is PA' a Harrop theory ?

2- Give a derivation, within LK, of PA' ⊢ A2.

3- Can you give a derivation within LJ of PA' ⊢ A2 ? does there exist a term  $t$ , and a proof within LK of PA' ⊢  $x = 0 \vee x = S(t)$  ?

### Exercice 2.3 A non-standard model for P'<sub>0</sub>.

Let  $\mathcal{M} =_{\text{d\u00e9f.}} \langle \mathcal{N}, 0_{\mathcal{N}}, S_{\mathcal{N}}, +_{\mathcal{N}}, \times_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle$  the following « arithmetical » structure :

$$\mathcal{N} =_{\text{d\u00e9f.}} (\mathbb{N} \times \{\bullet\}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{\circ\}) \text{ where } \bullet \neq \circ$$

$$0_{\mathcal{N}} =_{\text{d\u00e9f.}} \langle 0, \bullet \rangle$$

$$S_{\mathcal{N}} \langle p, \alpha \rangle =_{\text{d\u00e9f.}} \langle Sp, \alpha \rangle \text{ where } \alpha \in \{\bullet, \circ\}$$

$$\langle p, \alpha \rangle +_{\mathcal{N}} \langle q, \beta \rangle =_{\text{d\u00e9f.}} \langle p + q, \alpha \rangle \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in \{\bullet, \circ\}$$

$$\langle p, \alpha \rangle \times_{\mathcal{N}} \langle q, \beta \rangle =_{\text{d\u00e9f.}} \langle p \times q, \beta \rangle \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in \{\bullet, \circ\}$$

The domain of  $\mathcal{N}$  consists of two copies of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the « black » integers  $\langle p, \bullet \rangle$  and the « white » integers  $\langle p, \circ \rangle$ . The zero constant is interpreted by the black zero  $\langle 0, \bullet \rangle$  ; the op\u00e9rations successor, addition and multiplication are interpreted in such a way that :

- the successor keeps the color of its argument,
- the addition takes the la color of its *first* argument,
- the multiplication takes the color of its *second* argument.

1. Show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a model for P'<sub>0</sub>. is it a model for P<sub>0</sub> ?
2. Show that none of the following properties is a logical consequence of P'<sub>0</sub> :

$$\forall x (0 + x = x) \tag{1}$$

$$\forall x, y (x + y = y + x) \tag{2}$$

$$\forall x, y, z (x + y = x + z \rightarrow y = z) \tag{3}$$

$$\forall x (x \times S0 = x) \tag{4}$$

$$\forall x, y (x \times y = y \times x) \tag{5}$$

**Exercice 2.4** Heyting arithmetics

Let  $\Phi$  be some first-order formula over the signature of arithmetics.

- 1- Show that, if  $P'_0 \vdash \forall x \Phi$  is derivable within LJ, then  $P'_0 \vdash \Phi$  is derivable within LJ.
- 2- does the property shown in question 1 remain true if we take PA as left-part of the sequent ? or if we still take the same sequent but consider the formal system LK ?
- 3- Show that, if  $P'_0 \vdash \forall x, \exists y \Phi(x, y)$  is derivable within LJ, then, there exists some terme  $t$ , such that  $P'_0 \vdash \Phi(x, t)$  is derivable within LJ.
- 4- Does the property of question 3 hold true if we take, as left-hand side of the sequent, PA ? or if we still take the same sequent but consider the formal system LK ?
- 5- Assume that  $PA' \vdash \forall x, \exists y \Phi(x, y)$  is derivable within LJ, by “ only one recurrence” i.e.

$$P'_0 \vdash_{LJ} \exists y \Phi(0, y); \quad P'_0 \vdash_{LJ} (\exists y \Phi(x, y)) \rightarrow (\exists y \Phi(S(x), y))$$

- 5.1- Check that, under these assumptions, there does exist in LJ a derivation of  $PA' \vdash \forall x, \exists y \Phi(x, y)$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\underline{n}$  the term  $S(S(\dots(S(0))\dots))$  which represents the integer  $n$  within the language of Peano arithmetics.
- 5.2- Show that, for every integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there edists a term  $t_n$  such that  $P'_0 \vdash_{LJ} \Phi(\underline{n}, t_n)$ .
- 5.3- Give an algorithm that computes the function  $n \mapsto t_n$  and which relies on the cut elimination algorithm.

Let us now admit that the property shown in exercice 4, question 5, is still valid for every formula of the form  $\forall x, \exists y \Phi(x, y)$  (whether the derivation uses one recurrence or more).

**Exercice 2.5** Recursive functions

We are thinking about the possibility of a converse of the above-admitted statement. Let us make the assumption(**ASSUMP**) for every computable, total, function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a formula  $\Phi(x, y)$  such that

- (P1)  $PA \vdash \forall x, \exists_1 y \Phi(x, y)$  is derivable within LJ  
 where  $\exists_1 x F(x)$  abbreviates  $\exists x F(x) \wedge (\forall y, z F(y) \wedge F(z) \rightarrow y = z)$   
 (P2) for every integers  $n, m, \mathbb{N} \models \Phi(\underline{n}, \underline{m})$  if and only if  $f(n) = m$ .

- 1- Can we deduce from this assumption an effective enumeration of all computable, total, functions ?
- 2- Find a diagonal argument showing that **ASSUMP** is false.

Let us admit the theorem [Matiyasevich, 1971] : a subset  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is recursively enumerable iff, there exists an integer  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_q]$  such that, for every  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$x \in M \Leftrightarrow \exists \vec{y} \in \mathbb{N}^q, P(x, \vec{y}) = 0.$$

**Exercice 2.6** PA is undecidable

Let  $q$  be some natural integer and  $P$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_q]$ .

- 1- Show that, if  $\mathbb{N} \models \exists \vec{y} P(\underline{n}, \vec{y}) = 0$ .  
 then, there exists some natural integers vector  $\vec{m}$  such that  $PA \vdash_{LK} P(\underline{n}, \vec{m}) = 0$ .
- 2- Show that, if  $PA \vdash_{LK} \exists \vec{y} P(\underline{n}, \vec{y}) = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{N} \models \exists \vec{y} P(\underline{n}, \vec{y}) = 0$ .
- 3- Show that there exists a polynomial  $P$  such that the problem  
 Instance :  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; Question : does there exist a vector  $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{N}^q$  such that  $P(n, \vec{m}) = 0$ ?  
 is undecidable.
- 4- Show that the following problem is undecidable too :  
 Instance : a formula  $\Phi$ ; Question :  $PA \vdash_{LK} \Phi$ ?